arXiv:1411.5904v1 [math.AG] 21 Nov 2014

UDK 512.815.1+512.815.6+512.816.1+512.816.2
MSC 14L24+22C05

O. G. Styrt

The existence of a polynomial factorization map for some compact linear groups

It is proved that each of compact linear groups of one special type admits a polynomial factorization map onto a real vector space. More exactly, the group is supposed to be non-commutative one-dimensional and to have two connected components, and its representation should be the direct sum of three irreducible two-dimensional real representations at least two of them being faithful.

Key words: Lie group, factorization map of an action.

§ 1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove that each of the compact linear groups of one certain type admits a polynomial factorization map onto a vector space.

This problem arose from the question when the topological quotient of a compact linear group is homeomorphic to a vector space that was researched in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In [1], the result for finite groups is obtained. Namely, the necessary and sufficient condition is that the group is generated by pseudoreflections. Moreover, for the most of such groups, a polynomial factorization map onto a vector space is explicitly constructed. In [2], the case of infinite groups with commutative connected components is considered. For any $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $G(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ the subgroup of the group $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ generated by the operators $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (\lambda^{n_1} z_1, \lambda^{n_2} z_2, \lambda^{n_3} z_3)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{T})$ and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (\overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_2, \overline{z}_3)$. In [2], it is proved that $\mathbb{C}^3 \,/\, \big(G(n_1, n_2, n_3)\big) \cong \mathbb{R}^5$ for all $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the proof is based on purely topological aspects. In this work, we will prove that each of the linear groups $G(1, 1, n) \subset$ $\subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ admits an $\mathbb{R}$-polynomial factorization map $\mathbb{C}^3 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$.

Fix an arbitrary number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $G := G(1, 1, n) \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$.

The group $G \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is generated by the operators $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda^n z_3)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{T})$ and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (\overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_2, \overline{z}_3)$. The map $\mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$, $z \to w$, where $w_1 := z_1 + z_2$,

$w_2 := \overline{(z_1 - z_2)} = \overline{z}_1 + \overline{z}_2$, $w_3 := z_3$, is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear automorphism. In terms of the so-defined coordinates w_1, w_2, w_3, the linear group $G \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is generated by the operators $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \to (\lambda w_1, \overline{\lambda} w_2, \lambda^n w_3)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau\colon (w_1, w_2, w_3) \to (w_2, w_1, \overline{w}_3)$. The group $G^0 \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ consists of all the operators $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \to (\lambda w_1, \overline{\lambda} w_2, \lambda^n w_3)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{T})$. Also, $G = G^0 \sqcup (G^0\tau)$ and $G/G^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

In this paper, we will show that the (obviously, $\mathbb{R}$-polynomial) map

$$\mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^5 \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R},\ (w_1, w_2, w_3) \to \Big(w_2^n w_3 + w_1^n \overline{w}_3, w_1 w_2, |w_3|^2 - \big(|w_1|^{2n} + |w_2|^{2n}\big)\Big)$$

is a factorization map of the linear group $G \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$.

§ 2. Proof of the main result

Consider a group $\Gamma = \{e, \gamma_0, \gamma', \gamma''\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the set $C := \mathbb{R}^3_{\geqslant 0} \times \mathbb{C}^3$, and the action $\Gamma\colon C$ uniquely defined by the relations $\gamma' p := (r_2, r_1, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $\gamma'' p := (r_1, r_2, r_3, v_2, v_1, v_3)$ $(p = (r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in C)$. Denote by Γ_0 the subgroup $\{e, \gamma_0\} \subset \Gamma$. Since $G/G^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong$ $\cong \Gamma_0$, there exists a homomorphism $\rho\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_0$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \rho = G^0$ and $\rho(\tau) = \gamma_0$. The subset $M \subset C$ of all elements $(r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in C$ such that $|v_1| = r_2^n r_3$, $|v_2| = r_1^n r_3$, $|v_3| = r_1 r_2$, $v_1 v_2 = r_3^2 v_3^n$ is Γ_0-invariant.

The map $\pi_0\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to C$, $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \to \big(|w_1|, |w_2|, |w_3|, w_2^n w_3, w_1^n \overline{w}_3, w_1 w_2\big)$ satisfies $\pi_0(\mathbb{C}^3) = M \subset C$, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $G^0\colon \mathbb{C}^3$. It is easy to see that $\pi_0 \circ \tau \equiv \gamma_0 \circ \pi_0$. Thus,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall\, g \in G & \pi_0 \circ g \equiv \rho(g) \circ \pi_0; \\ \forall\, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3 & (y \in Gx) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Big(\pi_0(y) \in \Gamma_0\big(\pi_0(x)\big)\Big). \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

Statement 2.1. *If $p, q \in M$ and $q \in \Gamma p$, then $q \in \Gamma_0 p$.*

□ We have $q = \gamma p$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, then the claim is evident. Suppose that $\gamma \notin \Gamma_0$. From the relations $|\Gamma/\Gamma_0| = 2$ and $\gamma \notin \Gamma_0$, we obtain that $\Gamma_0\gamma = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0 = \{\gamma', \gamma''\}$, $\Gamma_0 q = \Gamma_0 \gamma p = \{\gamma' p, \gamma'' p\}$. Further, $\Gamma_0 M = M$ and $q \in M$, implying $\gamma' p \in \Gamma_0 q \subset \Gamma_0 M = M$. The element $p \in M$ has the form $p = (r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3)$, where $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in$ $\in \mathbb{C}$, $|v_1| = r_2^n r_3$, $|v_2| = r_1^n r_3$, $|v_3| = r_1 r_2$, $v_1 v_2 = r_3^2 v_3^n$. Also, $(r_2, r_1, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) = \gamma' p \in M$ and, hence, $r_1^n r_3 = |v_1| = r_2^n r_3$, $(r_1^n - r_2^n) r_3 = 0$.

Case 1). $r_3 = 0$.

We have $|v_1| = r_2^n r_3 = 0$ and $|v_2| = r_1^n r_3 = 0$. Thus, $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, $p = \gamma'' p \in \Gamma_0 q$, $q \in \Gamma_0 p$.

Case 2). $r_3 \neq 0$.

Since $(r_1^n - r_2^n) r_3 = 0$, we have $r_1^n = r_2^n$, $r_1 = r_2$, $p = \gamma' p \in \Gamma_0 q$, $q \in \Gamma_0 p$. ■

Corollary 2.1. *For any $p, q \in M$, we have $(q \in \Gamma p) \Leftrightarrow (q \in \Gamma_0 p)$.*

Denote by π the map

$$C \to C, \qquad (r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) \to \big((r_1^n - r_2^n)^2, r_1 r_2, r_3^2, v_1 + v_2, v_1 v_2, v_3\big).$$

Statement 2.2. *The map $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $(v_1, v_2) \to (v_1 + v_2, v_1 v_2)$ is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the subsets $\big\{(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_1)\big\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.*

We omit the proof since it is clear.

It is easy to see that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, $(r_1, r_2) \to (r_1^m - r_2^m, r_1 r_2)$ is a bijection. This implies the following statement.

Statement 2.3. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0}$, $(r_1, r_2) \to \big((r_1^m - r_2^m)^2, r_1 r_2\big)$ is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the subsets $\big\{(r_1, r_2), (r_2, r_1)\big\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0}$.*

Corollary 2.2. *The map $\pi\colon C \to C$ is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $\Gamma\colon C$.*

□ Follows from Statements 2.2 and 2.3. ■

Proposition 2.1. *The map $(\pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to C$ satisfies $(\pi \circ \pi_0)(\mathbb{C}^3) = \pi(\Gamma M) \subset C$, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $G\colon \mathbb{C}^3$.*

□ Recall that $\pi_0(\mathbb{C}^3) = M \subset C$.

By Corollary 2.2, $\pi(M) = \pi(\Gamma M)$. Thus, $(\pi \circ \pi_0)(\mathbb{C}^3) = \pi\big(\pi_0(\mathbb{C}^3)\big) = \pi(M) = \pi(\Gamma M)$.

It remains to prove that $(y \in Gx) \Leftrightarrow \big((\pi \circ \pi_0)(x) = (\pi \circ \pi_0)(y)\big)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$.

Take arbitrary elements $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Since $\pi_0(\mathbb{C}^3) = M \subset C$, we have $p := \pi_0(x) \in M \subset C$ and $q := \pi_0(y) \in M \subset C$. It follows from Corollary 2.1 that $(q \in \Gamma p) \Leftrightarrow (q \in \Gamma_0 p)$. Further, by Corollary 2.2, $(q \in \Gamma p) \Leftrightarrow \big(\pi(p) = \pi(q)\big)$. According to (2.1), $(y \in Gx) \Leftrightarrow (q \in \Gamma_0 p)$. So, $(y \in Gx) \Leftrightarrow (q \in \Gamma_0 p) \Leftrightarrow (q \in \Gamma p) \Leftrightarrow \big(\pi(p) = \pi(q)\big)$, $(y \in Gx) \Leftrightarrow \big(\pi(p) = \pi(q)\big)$. ■

Consider the subset $L \subset C$ of all elements $(s_1, s_2, s_3, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in C$ such that $|u_3| = s_2$, $u_2 = s_3 u_3^n$, $|u_1^2| + |u_1^2 - 4u_2| - |4u_2| = 2s_1 s_3$.

Lemma 2.1. *One has $\pi^{-1}(L) = \Gamma M$.*

□ We should show that $(p \in \Gamma M) \Leftrightarrow \big(\pi(p) \in L\big)$ for any $p \in C$.

Take an arbitrary element $p = (r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in C$.

We have $\gamma' p = (r_2, r_1, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in C$ and $\pi(p) = (s_1, s_2, s_3, u_1, u_2, u_3) \in C$, where $s_1 := (r_1^n - r_2^n)^2$, $s_2 := r_1 r_2$, $s_3 := r_3^2$, $u_1 := v_1 + v_2$, $u_2 := v_1 v_2$, $u_3 := v_3$.

Note that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \gamma' \Gamma_0$. Also, $\Gamma_0 M = M$. Hence, $\Gamma M = (\Gamma_0 M) \cup (\gamma' \Gamma_0 M) = M \cup (\gamma' M)$,

$$(p \in \Gamma M) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \big((p \in M) \vee (p \in \gamma' M)\big) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \big((p \in M) \vee (\gamma' p \in M)\big). \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, $p \in M$ if and only if $|v_1| = r_2^n r_3$, $|v_2| = r_1^n r_3$, $|v_3| = r_1 r_2$, $v_1 v_2 = r_3^2 v_3^n$. Further, $\gamma' p \in M$ if and only if $|v_1| = r_1^n r_3$, $|v_2| = r_2^n r_3$, $|v_3| = r_1 r_2$, $v_1 v_2 = r_3^2 v_3^n$. By (2.2), $p \in \Gamma M$ if and only if

1) $|u_3| = s_2$, $u_2 = s_3 u_3^n$;

2) $\big(|v_1|,|v_2|\big)=(r_2^n r_3,r_1^n r_3)\in\mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0}$ or $\big(|v_1|,|v_2|\big)=(r_1^n r_3,r_2^n r_3)\in\mathbb{R}^2_{\geqslant 0}$.

According to Statement 2.3, the condition 2) holds if and only if $\big(|v_1|-|v_2|\big)^2=(r_1^n r_3-r_2^n r_3)^2$ and $|v_1|\cdot|v_2|=(r_1^n r_3)(r_2^n r_3)$. We have $|v_1|\cdot|v_2|=|u_2|$, $(r_1^n r_3)(r_2^n r_3)=s_2^n s_3$, $(r_1^n r_3-r_2^n r_3)^2=$ $=s_1 s_3$. Also, $(v_1-v_2)^2=(v_1+v_2)^2-4v_1v_2=u_1^2-4u_2$,

$$2\big(|v_1|-|v_2|\big)^2=2\big(|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2\big)-4\big(|v_1|\cdot|v_2|\big)=|v_1+v_2|^2+|v_1-v_2|^2-4|u_2|=$$
$$=\big|(v_1+v_2)^2\big|+\big|(v_1-v_2)^2\big|-4|u_2|=|u_1^2|+|u_1^2-4u_2|-|4u_2|.$$

Therefore, the condition 2) holds if and only if $|u_1^2|+|u_1^2-4u_2|-|4u_2|=2s_1s_3$ and $|u_2|=s_2^n s_3$. Thus, $p\in\Gamma M$ if and only if $|u_3|=s_2$, $u_2=s_3u_3^n$, $|u_1^2|+|u_1^2-4u_2|-|4u_2|=2s_1s_3$, $|u_2|=s_2^n s_3$. Note that $\big((|u_3|=s_2)\wedge(u_2=s_3u_3^n)\big)\Rightarrow(|u_2|=s_2^n s_3)$. Hence, $(p\in\Gamma M)\Leftrightarrow\big(\pi(p)\in L\big)$. ■

Corollary 2.3. *One has $\pi(\Gamma M)=L$.*

□ According to Corollary 2.2, the map $\pi\colon C\to C$ is surjective. Hence, $\pi\big(\pi^{-1}(L)\big)=L$. By Lemma 2.1, $\pi^{-1}(L)=\Gamma M$, $\pi(\Gamma M)=\pi\big(\pi^{-1}(L)\big)=L$. ■

Corollary 2.4. *The map $(\pi\circ\pi_0)\colon\mathbb{C}^3\to C$ satisfies $(\pi\circ\pi_0)(\mathbb{C}^3)=L\subset C$, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $G\colon\mathbb{C}^3$.*

□ Follows from Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.3. ■

Consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_0\colon &\quad L\to\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R},\quad (s_1,s_2,s_3,u_1,u_2,u_3)\to(u_1,u_3,s_3-s_1);\\
\varphi\colon &\quad \mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R},\quad (a,b,c)\to\big(a,b,c-2|b|^n\big);\\
(\varphi\circ\varphi_0)\colon &\quad L\to\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R},\quad (s_1,s_2,s_3,u_1,u_2,u_3)\to\big(u_1,u_3,s_3-s_1-2|u_3|^n\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The map $\varphi_0\colon L\to\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R}$ is a bijection.*

□ We need to prove that $\big|\varphi_0^{-1}(a,b,c)\big|=1$ for any $(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R}$.

Take an arbitrary element $(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{R}$.

The subset $\varphi_0^{-1}(a,b,c)\subset L$ consists exactly of all elements $(t-c,|b|,t,a,tb^n,b)\in C$ $(t\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0},\ t\geqslant c,\ |a^2|+|a^2-4tb^n|-|4tb^n|=2(t-c)t)$.

Set $f_+(t):=2(t-c)t$, $f_-(t):=|a^2|+|a^2-4tb^n|-|4tb^n|$, and $f(t):=f_+(t)-f_-(t)$ $(t\in\mathbb{R})$. We should show that there exists a unique number $t\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $t\geqslant c$ and $f(t)=0$. The subset $I:=\{t\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}\colon t\geqslant c\}\subset\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ has the form $[t_0;+\infty)$, $t_0:=\max\{0,c\}\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$.

The function f_+ is strictly increasing on the subset $I\subset\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ and satisfies

$$f_+(t_0)=0; \tag{2.3}$$
$$f_+(t)\xrightarrow[t\to\infty]{}+\infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Obviously, $f_+,f_-\in C(\mathbb{R})$, implying $f\in C(\mathbb{R})$.

Due to the triangle inequality, $f_-(t)=|a^2|+|a^2-4tb^n|-|4tb^n|\geqslant 0$ $(t\in\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $f_-(t_0)\geqslant 0$. By (2.3), $f(t_0)\leqslant 0$.

If $t', t'' \in I$ and $t' \leqslant t''$, then $t' \in I \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, $0 \leqslant t' \leqslant t''$, $|4t''b^n| - |4t'b^n| = |4t''b^n - 4t'b^n|$ and, due to the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} f_-(t') - f_-(t'') &= \big(|a^2| + |a^2 - 4t'b^n| - |4t'b^n|\big) - \big(|a^2| + |a^2 - 4t''b^n| - |4t''b^n|\big) = \\ &= |a^2 - 4t'b^n| - |a^2 - 4t''b^n| + \big(|4t''b^n| - |4t'b^n|\big) = \\ &= |a^2 - 4t'b^n| + |4t''b^n - 4t'b^n| - |a^2 - 4t''b^n| \geqslant 0, \end{aligned}$$

$f_-(t') \geqslant f_-(t'')$. We see that the function f_- is decreasing on the subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. By (2.4), $f(t) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} +\infty$.

On the subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, the function f_+ is strictly increasing and the function f_- is decreasing. Hence, the function f is strictly increasing on the subset $I = [t_0; +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Also, $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $f(t_0) \leqslant 0$, and $f(t) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} +\infty$. Thus, $\Big|I \cap \big(f^{-1}(0)\big)\Big| = 1$ as required. ■

Corollary 2.5. *The map* $(\varphi \circ \varphi_0)\colon L \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ *is a bijection.*

□ One can easily see that the map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is a bijection. It remains to apply Lemma 2.2. ■

By Corollary 2.4, the map $(\pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to C$ can be considered as the surjective map $(\pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \twoheadrightarrow L$ whose fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $G\colon \mathbb{C}^3$. According to Corollary 2.5, the map $(\varphi \circ \varphi_0 \circ \pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is surjective, and its fibres coincide with the orbits of the action $G\colon \mathbb{C}^3$. This means that the map $(\varphi \circ \varphi_0 \circ \pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is a factorization map of the linear group $G \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$. If $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(w) &= \big(|w_1|, |w_2|, |w_3|, w_2^n w_3, w_1^n \overline{w}_3, w_1 w_2\big); \\ (\pi \circ \pi_0)(w) &= \Big(\big(|w_1|^n - |w_2|^n\big)^2, |w_1| \cdot |w_2|, |w_3|^2, w_2^n w_3 + w_1^n \overline{w}_3, (w_1 w_2)^n \cdot |w_3|^2, w_1 w_2\Big); \\ (\varphi \circ \varphi_0 \circ \pi \circ \pi_0)(w) &= \Big(w_2^n w_3 + w_1^n \overline{w}_3, w_1 w_2, |w_3|^2 - \big(|w_1|^n - |w_2|^n\big)^2 - 2|w_1 w_2|^n\Big) = \\ &= \Big(w_2^n w_3 + w_1^n \overline{w}_3, w_1 w_2, |w_3|^2 - \big(|w_1|^{2n} + |w_2|^{2n}\big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map $(\varphi \circ \varphi_0 \circ \pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-polynomial.

We see that the linear group $G \subset \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ admits an $\mathbb{R}$-polynomial factorization map $(\varphi \circ \varphi_0 \circ \pi \circ \pi_0)\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$. This completely proves the main result of the paper.

References

[1] M. A. Mikhailova, *On the quotient space modulo the action of a finite group generated by pseudoreflections*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1985, vol. 24, 1, 99—119.

[2] O. G. Styrt, *On the orbit space of a compact linear Lie group with commutative connected component*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O-va, 2009, vol. 70, 235—287 (Russian).

[3] O. G. Styrt, *On the orbit space of a three-dimensional compact linear Lie group*, Izv. RAN, Ser. math., 2011, vol. 75, 4, 165—188 (Russian).

[4] O. G. Styrt, *On the orbit space of an irreducible representation of a special unitary group*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O-va, 2013, vol. 74, 1, 175—199 (Russian).

[5] O. G. Styrt, *On the orbit spaces of irreducible representations of simple compact Lie groups of types B, C, and D*, J. Algebra, 2014, vol. 415, 137—161.